

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. SCH. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,390	04/25/2001	Thomas Schwegle	67107	9958
22242 . 75	590 05/02/2003			
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET			EXAMINER	
SUITE 1600			WONG, LESLIE A	
CHICAGO, IL	CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/843,390

Applicant(s)

Schwegle et al.

Examiner

Leslie Wong

Art Unit 1761

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period	for Reply	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
A SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM		
- Extens	tions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
mailing - If the particular - If NO particular - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)		
Status				
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 19,	2003 .		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This action	ction is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ p$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 14-18</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u>	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>8 and 14-18</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗀				
_	. Certified copies of the priority documents hav			
	$\mathbb{R} \sqcup \mathbb{R}$ Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	application from the international Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of th			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
ittachme 11 Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	ce of hererences Cited (P10-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
		6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1761

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications.

These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underling or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-Reference to Related Applications.
- (c) Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Sequence Listing," a table, or a computer program listing appendix submitted on compact disc (see 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5)).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (l) Sequence Listing, if on paper (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn et al in view of Asher et al for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action (Paper No. 6).

Dunn et al disclose a starch based texturizing agent and process for preparing comprising a combination of starch, gums, and whey protein (see entire document, especially claims 1, 5, 9, and 25).

The claims differ as to the specific use of whey protein concentrate.

Asher et al disclose a texturizing agent and process of preparing comprising the use of whey protein concentrate.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use whey protein concentrate as taught by Asher et al in that of Dunn et al because whey protein concentrate is a conventional source of whey protein.

Applicant's arguments filed February 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 4

- Application/Control Number: 09/843390

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant argues that the heating process of Dunn et al differs from that of the claimed invention.

Claims 8 and 14-18 are product claims and not process claims. Dunn teaches a combination of starch, gums, and whey protein, and Asher teaches the use of a whey protein concentrate. Both references are directed to texturizing agents and all of the claimed components are taught.

Applicant argues that the claimed invention provides a means for reducing or completely preventing uncontrolled protein agglomeration in protein-containing dairy products.

Applicant does not claim these limitations nor has Applicant provided a showing of unexpected results.

In the absence of unexpected results, it is not seen how claims 8 and 14-18 differ from the teachings of the prior art. Applicant's claims are drawn to a combination of known components which produces expected results, see In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069 and In re Gershon 152 USPQ 602.

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION
IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE

Art Unit: 1761

EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-9311 for after-final responses.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1761

LAW April 24, 2003